IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 179 of 2006.

IN

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION No. 1002 of 2006.

Mr. Vinayak Keshav Matakari. .. Petitioner.

Versus.

Smt. Sharmila S. Shanbhag & Anr. ..Respondents.

Mr. S.R.Chitnis, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms.Aarti Gujare,Advocate i/b M/s. Parulekar & Associates.

CORAM :K.J.ROHEE,J.

DATE :18/05/2006.

P.C.

. Heard the learned counsel for respondent no.1 Smt. Sharmila and counsel for the petitioner Vinayak Keshav Matkari (original complainant).

2] Respondent no.1 seeks modification in the order of this Court dated 27th April, 2006 to the effect that instead of furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.5 lakhs, he is prepared to deposit cash security of Rs.2 lakhs. Considering the circumstances of the case, I am inclined to do so. Hence the order;

O R D E R.

[a] The order dated 27th April, 2006 is modified to the extent that instead of furnishing solvent surety of Rupees Five lakhs, respondent no.1 shall deposit Rs.2 lakhs in addition to the personal bond within one week from today.

[K.J.ROHEE,J]